

**215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.39930 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.09.2016**

Sukhwinder Singh Petitioner
versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Counsel for the complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

On 19.01.2016 the following order was passed :-

*“Prayer is for grant of regular bail in case FIR
No.108 dated 6.5.2014 under Sections
420,467,406,468,471,120-B IPC, PS City Khanna,District
Ludhiana.*

*The allegations against the petitioner are that he has
obtained various amounts from different*

persons/complainants for getting them enrolled in Army. The petitioner is stated to be in custody since 11.7.2014. It is submitted that petitioner is willing to repay all the persons from whom he is alleged to have taken money.

Adjourned to 18.2.2016.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial court.

The petitioner shall be present in Court on the next date with clear and definite modalities for payment to complainants.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

Thereafter on 18.01.2016 the following order was passed :-

“Pursuant to the directions passed by this Court, the petitioner has been released on interim bail and is present in Court. He has undertaken to sell off his property and pay of all the claimants within the next two months.

List on 26.04.2016 for further consideration.

It is clarified that if the claims of the claimants are not satisfied by the next date of hearing, the interim bail granted shall be liable to be cancelled.”

Matter has been adjourned since then to enable the petitioner to meet his obligations. Today learned counsel for the petitioner states

that the petitioner has not contacted him.

Learned counsel for the complainant and learned Additional Advocate General have argued that the conduct of the petitioner clearly shows that he has obtained interim bail by this Court by making the false undertaking.

In the circumstances, the interim order dated 19.01.2016 is vacated and the petition is dismissed.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

08.09.2016

pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No