

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39907-2015

Date of decision: 19.2.2016

Meenu and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr.Rajesh Kumar, ASI states that the statements of respondent No.4-Pawan and respondent No.5-Manni were recorded wherein it was stated that they have no objection to the matrimonial alliance. Photocopies of the statements of the respondents produced in Court are taken on record.

No one is present on behalf of the petitioner. Even on the last date also, no one was present. The order dated 24.11.2015 has also not complied with.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2016