

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40809 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.11.2016

Jony

...Petitioner

Versus

UT Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Rukhsaar Syed, Advocate for
Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Addl. PP for UT Chandigarh
assisted by ASI Sukhwinder Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.193 dated 15.09.2016, registered under Sections 392 and 411 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Sector 36, Chandigarh.

It is contended that the petitioner was not arrested on the spot. He has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is in custody since 15.09.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused

the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 15.09.2016; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

22.11.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No