

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40749 of 2016
Date of Decision:23.11.2016

GURPREET SINGH @ SONA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Asst. A.G., Haryana.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner in case First Information Report No. 169 dated 12.09.2014, under Sections 15/27-A/61/85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station, Baragudha, District Sirsa.

Heard the submissions made by Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate representing the petitioner and Mr. Karan Sharma, Asst. A.G., Haryana representing the State.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that due to some family problem the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court on 19.11.2015 and his bail was cancelled and bonds furnished by him were forfeited. Subsequently, he surrendered on 30.08.2016 and since then he is in custody.

Learned State counsel has filed affidavit of Amit Kumar, Superintendent of Jail, District Prison, Sirsa giving details of custody of the petitioner in the instant case. On instructions from HC Radhe

Sharma, learned counsel for State submits that out of 13 witnesses of the prosecution three have been examined and rest still remained to be examined.

Considering the fact that the trial is still likely to take some time to complete and there appears no plausible ground to detain the petitioner further in custody, he is admitted to regular bail during pendency of the trial, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Sirsa.

Petition stands allowed accordingly.

(SNEH PRASHAR)

November 23, 2016

rashmi

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned?

Yes

Whether Reportable?

No