

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-42597 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: January 19, 2016.

Jagdeep Singh Gill

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate, Senior Panel Counsel for UOI
for respondent No.4.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

It comes out that the petitioner has been provided security by the Punjab Police. The State Counsel has taken a stand that two gunmen have been provided to the petitioner. The petitioner now seeks the security of the paramilitary forces.

After going through the petition, I am of the view that nothing is made out to show that the security of the Punjab Police already provided to the petitioner is insufficient or has some loopholes requiring security by paramilitary forces. In these circumstances, there is no need for providing security of the paramilitary forces. However, the petitioner, if so advised, can apply for arms licence if he does not have one.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge

January 19, 2016.

kadyan