

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40652-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.11.2016

Sukhbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Sarika Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
assisted by ASI Bachittar Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.74 dated 05.07.2016, registered under Sections 307, 420, 468, 148 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at P.S. Sadar, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Contends that no overt act or injury has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 12.07.2016. Co-accused, Pritpal Singh @ Preet, with similar allegations, has already been admitted to bail vide order dated 21.10.2016. The petitioner is not involved in any other case.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. On instructions, learned State counsel submits that the challan has been presented and the matter is fixed for framing of charge.

Heard.

ATUL SETHI
2016.11.22 18:18
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

The petitioner is in custody since 12.07.2016. No overt act or injury has been attributed to him. Though, the challan has been presented, but the charges are yet to be framed, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the conclusion of the trial may take time.

Keeping in view the above, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

22.11.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No