

CRM-M-40650-2016

Date of Decision : 15.11.2016

Ved Parkash

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. S.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

This is a petition for quashing of FIR claiming that petitioner himself has filed a complaint.

On asking of the Court, learned counsel informs that the challan has not been presented against the petitioner but efforts are being made to arrest the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the stage of the investigation and the circumstances of this case, I find that the present petition for quashing of FIR and criminal proceedings is premature. So far as the apprehension of the petitioner that he would be arrested, is concerned, it will always be open to the petitioner to avail the remedy under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

Disposed of as premature at this stage with liberty to approach this Court again, in case, the prosecution agency opts to present challan against the petitioner, without prejudice to the right of

the petitioner to seek the benefit under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case, circumstances so warrant.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

15.11.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No