IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-39674 of 2015
Date of decision: February 11, 2016.

Mahesh Kumar Gupta and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Gurvinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.530 dated 11.12.2014, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonepat, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sonepat received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the complainant as well as the accused. The complainant as well as the accused have stated that they have effected compromise without any fear and out of their free will.

VINOD KUMAR KADYAN
2016.02.16 23:31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

As the parties have amicably settled the dispute, therefore, FIR No.530 dated 11.12.2014, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonepat, along with consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge

February 11, 2016.
kadyan

VINOD KUMAR KADYAN
2016.02.16 23:31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh