

260

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39616 of 2015

Date of decision: August 30, 2016

Ekam Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Navdeep Singh Bhullar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Harpreet Dandiwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.2 dated 18.01.2014, under Sections 341, 506, 323, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short ('IPC')), registered at Police Station Mehal Kalan, District Barnala, petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR in question.

Mr. Harpreet Dandiwal, Advocate appears for respondent No.2-complainant and makes a statement that indeed compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

Looking to the nature of offence and further fact that the statements have been recorded and report is received in this case through District and Sessions Judge, Barnala, the compounding is allowed.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.2 dated 18.01.2014, under Sections 341, 506, 323, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Mehal Kalan, District Barnala along with other consequential proceedings, stands quashed in terms of compromise.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 30, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No