

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4047-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.08.2016

Jatinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. R.S. Jhand, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by SI Om Parkash.

Mr. Amit Chaudhari, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.798 dated 24.12.2015, registered under Sections 380, 420, 467 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station City Fatehabad.

Learned counsel contends that the instant case is a counter-blast to the complaint (Annexure P-9) moved by father of the petitioner, which is prior in time. He further refers to Annexures P-1 to P-8 to contend that the petitioner had purchased the vehicle in question from the agency of the complainant. The petitioner has regularly joined the investigation in terms of the orders passed by this Court.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, states

that though the petitioner has joined the investigation but he is not cooperating. No clue has been provided by him as to how the details of the vehicle were changed and whether any other person is also involved in the crime.

In view of the above, this Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to know his modus operandi, particularly when he has not co-operated in the investigation as reported by the Investigating Officer. No case for grant of relief sought is made out.

Dismissed.

23.08.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No