

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40438 of 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- November 18, 2016**

Balram Krishan and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JEYAPPAUL

Present:- Mr. Hitesh Kaplish, Advocate for the petitioners.

M.JEYAPPAUL, J.

1. Heard the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner-complainant.
2. The present petition is filed by petitioner-complainant praying for cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to the 2nd respondent.
3. The argument of the petitioner is that the 2nd respondent failed to appear before the trial Court. It is his further submission that the dowry articles were not returned by the 2nd respondent to the daughter of the complainant.
4. I am of the considered view that the anticipatory bail granted to the 2nd respondent can be cancelled for the aforesaid reasons. Firstly, there is no such direction in the order passed granting anticipatory bail that the 2nd respondent shall return the dowry articles. Secondly, if the 2nd respondent does not appear before the trial Court, the trial Court is at liberty

to issueailable warrant or nonailable warrant, as the case may be, to secure the presence of 2nd respondent. Therefore, I do not find any reason to cancel the anticipatory bail. The petition stands dismissed.

(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE

November 18, 2016
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable No