

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.206

CRM-M-40416-2016
Date of decision:- December 15, 2016

NIYAZU

...PETITIONER...

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT...

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Saminder Deep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought pre-arrest bail, feeling apprehension of his arrest, in case FIR No. 99, dated 23.03.2015, under Sections, 406, 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 7 (1) of Essential Commodities Act, 1955, Police Station Sohna, District Gurgaon.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Tara Chand, Police Station Sohna has submitted that since the petitioner has been arrested by the police on 13.11.2016 in this case, the instant petition has rendered infructuous. This fact has not been disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

December 15, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

whether reportable: Yes/No