

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 40378 of 2016

Date of decision : December 20, 2016

Ramesh

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Harish Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG Haryana

Mr. S.K Librehan, Advocate and
Mr. KB Raheja, Advocate
for the complainant.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR
No.191, dated 9.10.2016, registered under Sections 307/323, 325 of the
IPC, at Police Station Garhi, District Jind.

On 21.11.2016, the following order was passed :-

“ The petitioner has preferred this petition for
grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.191 dated
09.10.2016, registered at Police Station Garhi District
Jind, under Sections 307, 323, 325 IPC (Section 325
IPC added later).

The allegation is that the petitioner rammed his
vehicle into the complainant and then repeatedly

reversed it so as to kill him because of a two decade old grudge.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that it is an accident case which has been converted into a case for attempt to murder whereas learned counsel for complainant has ascertained that the petitioner had tried to kill the complainant and this is clear from the fact that he repeatedly reversed the vehicle.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that there is no independent witness to corroborate this allegation.

Learned State counsel has accepted these facts and seeks a short adjournment to investigate the matter from this angle and to see if any evidence can be collected on that facet.

Adjourned to 01.12.2016.

Meanwhile, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed.”

Today, learned AAG Haryana states that evidence of three persons has been recorded. None of these three persons is related to the complainant and one of whom is from a different village and in their statements under Section 161 of the Cr.P.C, they have corroborated the allegations made in the complaint.

In this view of the matter, in my opinion, it is not a case where anticipatory bail can be granted to the petitioner. Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

December 20, 2016

`kk'

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No