

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 2630 of 2009 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 01, 2016

PARVEEN

.... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. KDS Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH.J

CRM No. 50997 OF 2009

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

CRR No. 2630 of 2009

Challenge in this revision petition is to the judgment/order dated
January 17, 2009 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hisar
whereby, petitioner has been convicted and sentenced as under:

Name of the accused	Under Section	Sentence (R.I.)	Fine
Parveen @ Ram Singh	420 IPC	Two years	₹1000/- in default thereof, to further undergo simple imprisonment for a period of two months
Parveen @ Ram Singh	467 IPC	Three years	₹1000/- in default thereof, to further undergo simple imprisonment for a period of two months
Parveen @ Ram	468 IPC	Two years	₹1000/- in default thereof,

Singh			to further undergo simple imprisonment for a period of two months
Parveen @ Ram Singh	471 IPC	Two years	₹1000/- in default thereof, to further undergo simple imprisonment for a period of one month.

And further to the judgment/order dated September 08, 2009 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar whereby, an appeal preferred by the appellant has been dismissed but with modification with regard to the sentence awarded to him under Section 467 IPC by reducing it from RI for three years to RI for 2 ½ years.

2. At the very outset of arguments, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that he does not challenge the conviction of the petitioner on merits and only seeks the indulgence of this Court in respect of sentence awarded to him.

3. This Court has also minutely scanned the impugned judgments/orders passed by both the courts below but does not find any scope of interference therein, so far as it pertains to the conviction of the petitioner.

4. Taking into consideration the fact that petitioner has not challenged his conviction on merits and also the fact that he already undergone the sentenced imposed upon him, instant petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

AUGUST 01, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether

Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether

Reportable

Yes/No