

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.252

CRM No.M-39378 of 2015

Date of decision : March 10, 2016

Baljit Singh and another

...Petitioners...

versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. V.B. Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Wasu, APP for UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Amit Bhanot, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

This petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No. 198, dated 29.04.2014, under Sections 324 & 34 of IPC (under Section 307 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station South Sector 34, Chandigarh, (**Annexure P-1**) along with all consequential proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise dated October 29, 2015 (**Annexure P-2**).

2. In compliance of order dated November 20, 2015, statement of the parties have been recorded by the Appellate Court.

Report of learned Additional District Judge, Chandigarh, has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that parties have arrived at compromise without any threat, voluntarily, coercion or undue influence from any quarter. Even otherwise, matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. In view of the above I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petition stands allowed and FIR No. 198, dated 29.04.2014, under Sections 324 & 34 of IPC (under Section 307 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station South Sector 34, Chandigarh and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

5. However, in case of non-fulfillment of all the terms and conditions enumerated in the compromise deed, the aggrieved party shall have the liberty to move an application to this Court for the cancellation of this order.

March 10, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**