
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 239

CRM-M No. 39454 of 2014
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 02, 2016

JYOTI RANI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Vikas Mehsempuri, Advocate,

for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. M.B. Jain, Advocate,
for respondents No.5 & 6.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to hand over the investigation of the case bearing FIR No. 383, dated 26.08.2013, under Section 420 IPC registered at Police Station Tripati Town, District Patiala to some independent agency to carry out further investigation/re-investigation of the matter.

At the very outset of arguments, it has revealed that after due investigation, cancellation report has already been presented before the learned

Jurisdictional Magistrate, which is pending disposal.

In these circumstances, the only remedy with the petitioner is that either she can prefer a protest petition before the learned Illaqa Magistrate where the cancellation proceedings are pending or to file a regular complaint, if not satisfied with the investigation.

In view of the aforesaid observations, instant petition has been rendered infructuous and as such is dismissed.

FEBRUARY 02, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**