

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(207)

**CRM-M-39332-2015 (O&M)
Decided on: February 10, 2016.**

Ramesh Bangar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Duggal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jashan Preet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajat Malhotra, Advocate, for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks concession of regular bail in a case registered at the instance of Karandeep Singh alleging that on 24.09.2014 co-accused of the petitioner Deepu, Shibu, Tinku and others had forced the complainant to consume liquor and gambling by joining the above said persons. Thereafter, the complainant was taken to the office of the petitioner where Happy, nephew of the petitioner started beating the complainant. So far as the petitioner is concerned, allegations against him are that he had kept his revolver on the left ear of the complainant and demanded Rs.2,72,000/-. The said amount was allegedly paid by the brother of the complainant by selling their car to car dealer Hunny Arora.

In this case, recovery of the revolver has already been effected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a social activist and at his instance a number of FIRs have been

registered against the senior police officials. The petitioner claims that he has been falsely implicated at the instance of the police through the complainant.

Learned counsel for the complainant has intervened to oppose application for regular bail contending that the petitioner is involved in five more cases. This contention has been controverted by learned counsel for the petitioner by stating that in three FIRs challan has not been presented and that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in those cases.

During course of arguments, learned counsel for the complainant has brought to the notice of the Court that the petitioner was instrumental in getting the complainant involved in a case under Section 376 IPC.

Without expression of any opinion regarding the existing rivalry of the petitioner and the complainant, I am of the opinion that since recovery has already been effected, challan stands presented and the petitioner being in custody w.e.f. 19.08.2015, he can be granted concession of bail.

Petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court subject to the conditions that he will not commit similar offence of which he is accused of, during pendency of the trial and will not in any manner make any attempt to tamper with the evidence or hamper the further proceedings in trial.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

February 10, 2016

harsha