

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No. 2890 of 2002

Date of Decision:- 10.08.2016

Balram Chaudhary

....Petitioner(s)

vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Request for an adjournment has been made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of counsel for the petitioner. Even the present status of the case is not known to the counsel for the petitioner. The petitioner is claiming promotion as Superintending Engineer w.e.f. 30.4.1990 instead of 16.12.1993.

It is not known whether the petitioner has retired or not or anything survives in the petition.

Dismissed in default.

In case anything survives in the petition, the petitioner is at liberty to move an application for recalling of the order.

August 10, 2016
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No