

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(209)

CRM-M-39296-2015

Decided on: April 23, 2016.

Balwan @ Ballu

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Application for bail has been pressed inter alia on the ground that the petitioner has been in custody w.e.f. 04.01.2015 but an evasive approach is adopted by the complainant party by not producing the witnesses. The said contention is rebutted by the State counsel.

Without expression of any opinion on merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to make earnest endeavour to examine the material witnesses by giving short dates of hearing within a period of four months. It will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again after the period of four months, in case, the trial is prolonged and unnecessarily delayed on account of conduct of the complainant party.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

April 23, 2016

harsha