

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-39249 of 2015

Date of decision: January 18, 2016.

Pooja Goyal and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate for  
Mr. Rishi Lal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh, J.** (Oral):

Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner No.2 is unable to produce the FDR in the sum of Rs.1.00 lacs. Keeping in view the fact that it is a case of run away marriage and parties might be running short of money, the FDR in the sum of Rs.1.00 lacs is not insisted upon

The petitioners state that they have married against the wishes of their parents. They request for protection of their life and liberty. Petitioner No.1 states that she is studying in B.Com Part I. Even if it is assumed that she started studying in the school at the age of five years, her age will certainly come out to be more than 18

years. The driving licence of petitioner No.2 has been produced showing that he was born on 15.8.1993 and is major. The photographs of the marriage and certificate of marriage have also been produced. As such, the present petition is allowed. Direction is issued to the Superintendent of Police, Jind to assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if any threat is found to the life and liberty to the petitioners, necessary protection be provided to them. Learned Counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Balwan Singh, PS City Narwana, District Jind states that statements of parents of Pooja Goyal have been recorded and they have stated that they will not give any threat to the petitioners. Learned Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASP Mansa Ram, PS Kalka, District Panchkula, states that even the parents of petitioner No.2 have got recorded their statements to the same effect.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

January 18, 2016.  
*kadyan*

**[ Kuldip Singh ]**  
**Judge**