

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9972-2003

Date of decision : 13.01.2016

Hari Singh & Anr.

..... Petitioners

Vs

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. & Ors.

..... Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. I.D. Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate,
for the respondents.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (oral)

Counsel for the respondent has submitted that since the petitioner himself has approached the respondent stating that he has been given tubewell connection, therefore, this petition has become infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the statement made by counsel for the respondents, this petition may be dismissed as infructuous but he has no instructions.

Dismissed as infructuous.

In case, the statement made by counsel for the respondents is found to be incorrect, the petitioner may file an application for revival of this petition.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)

JUDGE

13.01.2016

sp