

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

GURPREET KAUR
2016.05.20 10:08

Crl. Misc. No.M-4005 of 2016

Date of Decision: 18.05.2016

Hardeep Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, D.A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the challan has been presented and respondent No.2 has furnished fresh bail bonds and hence, the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for respondent No.2 as well as learned State counsel, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous.

18.05.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE