

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.3386 of 2001 (O&M)
Date of decision : 13.12.2016

Ajay Kumar

...Appellant

Versus

HSIDC

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. G.S. Bhatia, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Kamal Sehgal, Advocate for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The main case already stands admitted vide order dated 04.08.2005. The learned counsel for the appellant has moved CM bearing No.4382-C of 2014, under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC for amendment and vide order dated 24.02.2016, both the counsels instead of pondering upon application were ready to address arguments on main appeal.

Mr. Bhatia, Advocate submits that despite numerous telephonic information, LR's of appellant are not giving the particulars of the deceased appellant and, therefore, he is unable to move the application.

It appears that LR's of appellant are not interested in pursuing the present case.

Dismissed for non-prosecution, with a liberty to revive the present matter in case particulars are given.

13.12.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No