

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

219

**CRM-M-39091-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: May 16, 2016**

SUNDER @ SURENDER	VERSUS	PETITIONER
STATE OF HARYANA		RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

Present: Mr.Birender Singh Rana, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Gagandeep Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.C.S.Bakshi, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr.Amit Chaudhary, Advocate for the complainant.

M.M.S.BEDI, J.(Oral)

A perusal of the testimony of the injured witness indicates that he has given dual version prior to the filing of the application under Section 311 Cr.P.C. and after allowing of said application.

In view of the contradictory stand taken by the complainant-injured himself, two different statements having been made before the trial Court, the petitioner prima facie can be given the benefit of doubt which appears to have been created regarding the authenticity of the version of the complainant-injured.

Counsel for the complainant present in the Court has also given an indication that the matter has been compromised.

In view of the said circumstances, petitioner who has been in custody w.e.f. 3.3.2015, can be granted the concession of bail.

The petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

**May 16, 2016
TSG**

**(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE**