

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39998 of 2016.

Decided on:-17.12.2016.

Sanjay.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for
Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.289 dated 29.03.2016 under Section 379-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the allegation against the petitioner is that he along with co-accused had taken out amount of Rs.5,000/- from the complainant. The accused snatched this amount from the hands of complainant and fled away in their car. He further states that the police has already completed the investigation and submitted Challan in the Court. The petitioner is in custody since 13.06.2016 and conclusion of trial is likely to take sufficient long time.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from

ASI Raj Kumar, states that another case bearing FIR No.57 dated 20.05.2016 under Sections 398 and 401 IPC as well as Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 has also been registered against the petitioner at Police Station Line Par, Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar. Though in that case, he is on bail, but considering the nature of offence, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 13.06.2016 and the case is at the initial stage as only the Challan has been presented in the Court and, therefore, conclusion of trial is likely to take sufficient long time.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove are restricted only for limited purpose of disposal of the present petition for regular bail and shall not construe any expression on the merits of the case.

December 17, 2016

Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No