

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39991 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.11.2016

Usman

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Dhanda, Advocate for
Ms. Monisha Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.105 dated 14.07.2016, registered under Sections 148, 323, 452, 506, 307 read with Section 149 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Bahin, District Palwal.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. As per MLR, the petitioner has been attributed simple injury to Mubarak. The petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application. The challan qua the petitioner stands presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2016; the challan qua the petitioner has been presented; therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

22.11.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No