

CRM No. M-39053 of 2015
DECIDED ON: MAY 17, 2016

.....PETITIONERS

....RESPONDENTS

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 or direct them not to interfere in their personal life.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Kuldip Singh, Police Station City, Kapurthala has submitted that parties approached the police station and submitted an affidavit of one of the petitioner namely Lakhwinder Kumar that he has no apprehension at the hands of his in-laws and does not require any protection. He has also placed on record the affidavit of

Lakhwinder Kumar, which is taken on record.

3. In view of affidavit furnished by Lakhwinder Kumar, instant petition has been rendered infructuous and as such is disposed of.

4. However, petitioners shall be at liberty to move to the police in case they require protection in future.

MAY 17, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE