

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 39932 of 2016

Date of decision : November 17, 2016

Harmeet Singh and others,

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. LM Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. KS Pannu, DAG Punjab.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.54, dated 16.05.2010, registered under Sections 304-A, 323, 341, 148, 149, 304 Part II of the IPC, at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur.

Counsel for the petitioners states that originally the allegation against the petitioners was under Section 304-A. The petitioners were granted regular bail and subsequently allegations were changed to Section 304 Part II. As per the learned counsel, one of the

prosecution witnesses has submitted an affidavit which completely exculpates the petitioners.

Counsel for the respondent has countered this by arguing that the said prosecution witness has been won over by the petitioners but has accepted the other factual assertions of counsel for the petitioners.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Consequently, the petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 28.11.2016 or on any other day on which the Investigating Officer requires their presence, and in the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of.

November 17, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No