

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.39129 of 2014 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 25.01.2016**

Rajbir Singh Sekhon

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH**

Present: Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner/complainant.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab  
for respondent Nos.1 to 5/State assisted by Inspector Nirmal Singh.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for respondent No.6/accused.

\*\*\*\*

**JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. by the complainant is for directing the trial Court to conclude the criminal trial in FIR No.53 dated 21.04.2011, under Sections 302, 34, IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, Police Station Division No.6, Jalandhar at the earliest; and also pass orders regarding cancellation of the interim bail granted to accused respondent No.6 after seeking an opinion from independent Medical Board constituted at the PGI, Chandigarh. Further prayer is for taking action as per law on the basis of a report dated 02.09.2013 submitted by the District & Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur regarding unauthorized use of extra facilities by the accused and the special treatment being extended to them in jail.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner at the time of arguments restricts her prayer only qua taking of appropriate action qua the special treatment meted out to respondent No.6/accused as an undertrial as the trial stands concluded and the nominated accused including respondent No.6 stand convicted, vide judgment dated 03.08.2015.

As regards the aforesaid grievance, it has already come on record that for the special treatment meted out, upon inquiry, an FIR No.167 dated 27.09.2013 for the offence punishable under Section 52A of the Prisons Act already stands lodged against the Jail Officials involved/concerned.

Learned State Counsel, assisted by Inspector Nirmal Singh, submits that appropriate action having already been initiated, no further orders are required to be passed in the present case.

In view of the above, this Court is persuaded to accept the contention of learned State counsel and finds that appropriate action has been initiated and the same would be taken to its logical end.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

**January 25, 2016**  
Gagan

**(JASWANT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**