

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 111

CRM No.M-39858 of 2016

Date of decision: November 08, 2016

Deepika and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. Dhawal Bhandari, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 7 and their relatives, by providing them security.

2. Notice of motion to the respondents, at this stage.

3. At the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day. Ms. G.K. Hundal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4 and at her request, parties were directed to have an interview with the parents and sister i.e. respondents No.4 to 7 if they are satisfied by the marriage of the petitioners.

4. As per the version unfolded by the petitioners, they are major in age and have solemnized marriage on November 03, 2016. In support thereof, they have placed on record photographs of marriage Annexure P-3.

5. Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of, with the direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur (Punjab) to look into the grievances narrated in their representation dated November 03, 2016 (Annexure P-4) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. This order shall not be construed to be conferring the legitimacy or authenticity to the factum of marriage having been performed as well as their age, as the Court is clearly deprived of any means to determine the aforesaid facts.

November 08, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No