

MAMTA MALHOTRA
2016.06.03 17:02

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc.No.M-38934 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01st June, 2016

Natha Ram and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Chand Mohammad and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sahil Khunger, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. Varun Sharma, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent(s)-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioners have preferred the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.45 dated 07.02.2014, registered at Police Station Jodhewal, Ludhiana, under Sections 457/380 IPC and all the consequent proceedings arising out of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion was issued.

Learned State counsel as well as private respondent i.e. respondent No.1 appeared. Petitioners as well as respondent No.1 were directed to get recorded their statements before the learned trial Court regarding compromise on 21.04.2016 but the parties did not appear there for the said purpose. Again the opportunity was given to the parties to appear before the learned trial Court on 20.05.2016 but the parties again did not appear there for getting recorded their statements regarding compromise.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, no further action requires in the instant petition as the parties are not complying

with the orders, passed by this Court.

Therefore, the instant petition stands dismissed.

01st June, 2016
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE