

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M- 3981 of 2016**

**Date of Decision: February 04, 2016**

**Rinki and another**

**.... Petitioners**

**vs.**

**State of Union Territory, Chandigahr and others .... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:** Petitioners are in person with their counsel  
Mr. Sant Lal Barwala.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)**

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 15.01.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-4. The true typed copies of aadhar cards of petitioner Nos.1 and 2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively. The marriage certificate has been placed on file as Annexue P-3.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved applications dated 28.01.2016 (Annexures-P-5 and P-6) before the Superintendent of Police, Puranpur-pilibhit, Uttar Pardesh and before the Superintendent of Police, Union Territory, Chandigarh respectively, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Union Territory, Chandigarh, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 28.01.2016 (Annexures-P-6) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

**February 04, 2016**  
sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**