

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-39807 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.11.2016

Monu Raman

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.S. Patter, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner(s) has sought regular bail in case FIR No.832 dated 29.06.2016, registered under Section 364-A of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. No role is attributed to the petitioner. He has never demanded any money from the complainant or any of his family members. He is in custody since 29.06.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that when the petitioner along with his companion trying to kidnap the son of the

complainant, namely Divyam they were caught by the public and handed over to the police.

Heard.

In the instant case, petitioner along with other co-accused, Gagan Soni, tried to kidnap the son of the complainant, however, they were apprehended by the public and further handed over to the police and kidnapped child was recovered from them. Therefore, considering the fact that the petitioner was apprehended by the public at the spot and alleged child was recovered from him, no case for bail is made out at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.11.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No