

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-38852 of 2015
Date of decision: April 05, 2016.

Mangal Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Rahul Makkar, Advocate for
Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Reply filed in Court today, is taken on record.

Learned Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Rampal Singh, states that respondent No.3 had filed a complaint before the police in which the petitioner was summoned under Section 160 Cr.P.C. Now, the said inquiry is complete and the petitioner is not required in any inquiry. That being so, the petition is dismissed as infructuous.

April 05, 2016.
kadyan

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge