

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39745 of 2016

Date of Decision: December 21, 2016

Lachoo @ Laxmi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. Vikram Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The allegations against the petitioner in this anticipatory bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C. are that on 6.9.2016 victim complainant-Sanjay Sharma was called telephonically by one Pinky co-accused/non-applicant and when the complainant went to the disclosed place he was abducted by two boys and one woman latter being the present petitioner and the ransom call of Rs.1,50,000/- was made by them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has not been identified and it is only on the statement of his co-accused she has been named and that nothing is to be recovered from her.

The bail application is opposed by learned State counsel on the ground of seriousness of the offence.

Appreciating the submissions and keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required and more so provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C. are to be

sparingly used impels this Court to dismiss the bail application of the petitioner.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 21, 2016

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No