

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39741-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.11.2016

Dinesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Arvind Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by HC Deepak Kumar.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.222 dated 21.09.2016, under Sections 323, 324 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Cheeka, Tehsil Guhla, Distt. Kaithal.

Contents that Section 324 IPC stands deleted after receipt of the report of the doctor. Co-accused Krishan Kumar has already been allowed bail by the trial Court. The petitioner is in custody since 17.10.2016. He is not involved in any other case.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. On instructions, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner gave *daant* blow on the little finger of the petitioner. The challan is yet to be presented as two co-accused are yet to be arrested.

Heard.

The petitioner is behind the bars since 17.10.2016. Co-accused, Krishan has already been admitted to bail by the trial Court. The

ATUL KETIM
2016.11.11.12:34:28
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

petitioner is not involved in any other FIR. The trial is yet to commence as the challan has not been presented so far. Whether the injury attributed to the petitioner is self-inflicted or otherwise, shall be a debatable question before the trial Court.

Keeping in view the above and without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.11.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No