

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39740-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.11.2016

Ramandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocates,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
assisted by ASI Gurcharan Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.200 dated 26.07.2016, under Sections 364A, 342, 120-B and 323 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Khanna, Distt. Khanna.

It is contended that even as per the allegations in the FIR, the brother of the complainant was not called by the petitioner. The petitioner is a household lady. She is not involved in any other case.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. On instructions, learned State counsel submits out of five accused, four have been arrested while one is still absconding. The brother of the complainant was recovered from the house of the petitioner after two hours of the occurrence. The challan stands presented but the charges are yet to be framed.

Heard.

The petitioner is a household lady. She is not involved in any other case. Though, the challan stands presented, but the charges are yet to be framed, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the conclusion of the trial may take a considerable time.

Keeping in view the above and without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.11.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No