

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.3974 of 2016 (O&M).
Decided on:-04.02.2016.

Satwinder Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Gurnam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to the authorities to prevent any harm to the life and property of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier, respondent No.4 was posted at Police Station Shambhu and a complaint was made against him by the petitioner. Now, he has again been posted at Police Station Shambhu in the month of November, 2015 and such posting is likely to prejudice interest of the petitioner in a pending enquiry.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the petitioner has made a representation dated 3.12.2015 (Annexure P-8) to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala for taking appropriate action against respondent No.4.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

At the asking of this Court, Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take note of the contents of representation (Annexure P-8) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

February 04, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE