

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38798 of 2015.
Decided on:-22.01.2016.

Mohinder Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Ram K. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Though the allegation against the respondents No.7 to 9 is that they have not adhered to the agreement executed between the petitioners and the respondents No.7 and 8, however, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that he would be satisfied in case the respondent No.4 i.e. Regional Deputy Director, Department of Local Govt., Patiala is directed to take note of the contents of legal notice (Annexure P-7) submitted by the petitioners on 4.8.2015.

Without observing anything on merits, the present petition is

disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider the legal notice dated 4.8.2015 (Annexure P-7) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

January 22, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE