

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39678 of 2016

Date of Decision: December 20, 2016

Arshdeep Singh @ Arsh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Brar, AAG, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner in this anticipatory bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C. are that on 12.01.2016 occupants of the two cars make Figo and Ritz allegedly fired on the Police when they were signalled to stop.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only semblance of evidence against the petitioner is a statement of his co-accused who were apprehended at the spot that the petitioner is one of the occupant who managed to escape.

Keeping in view the factual scenario being accepted by the learned State counsel and that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner and that the similarly placed co-accused has been granted similar relief by this Court vide order dated 26.09.2016, thus, in view of the

principle of parity, the present application is allowed. In the event of arrest of the petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer and that he will abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 20, 2016

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No