

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38680-2015

Date of Decision: February 12, 2016

Balwinder Singh @ Binda and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Ms. Ishma Randhawa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Addl. AG, Punjab,
for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 10.11.2015, passed by this Court, both the petitioners did appear before learned Court below and were admitted to ad-interim bail.

Learned counsel for the State has not controverted the above statement.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the material available on record, the present petition is

allowed. The interim directions issued vide order dated 10.11.2015, are made absolute. The petitioners shall continue to appear before learned Court below on each date of hearing unless ordered otherwise and abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 438(2), Cr.P.C.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 12, 2016
P Kapoor