

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39479 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.11.2016

Roshan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Hari Kishan.

Mr. Ajay Kansal, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.269 dated 18.04.2016, registered under Sections 307, 216, 120-B read with Section 34 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Jind City, District Jind.

It is contended that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner. The recovery of pistol and cartridges have been planted upon the petitioner just to falsely implicate him in the instant case. The petitioner is not involved in any other FIR and he is in custody since 10.06.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states there are serious allegations against the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2016; out of total 31 PWs, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

29.11.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No