

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-3944 of 2016

Date of decision: February 03, 2016.

Neha Kumari and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Karanjit Singh Brar, Advocate.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 1.2.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-4. The true typed copies of certificates of matriculation examination, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2, have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 1.2.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala-respondent No. 2, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents No. 4 to 6.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to SSP, Kapurthala-respondent No. 2, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 1.2.2016 (Annexure-P-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

February 03, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge