

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-38506 of 2015

Date of decision: February 29, 2016.

Vikrant Puri

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Rajiv Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate for respondent No.4.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.199 dated 21.2.2014, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B/506 IPC, at Police Station Karnal City, District Karnal, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the complainant as well as the accused Vikrant Puri and has reported that both the parties have effected compromise between themselves which is voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. Photostat copies of the statements of the parties have also been enclosed with the report. There is another accused also in this case.

Keeping in view the compromise between the parties, FIR No.199 dated 21.2.2014, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B/506 IPC, at Police Station Karnal City, District Karnal, along with all consequential proceedings stands quashed qua the present petitioner Vikrant Puri only. However, the proceedings against the remaining accused will continue.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

February 29, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge