

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.38452 of 2015**

Date of decision: 19<sup>th</sup> February, 2016

Navjot Singh Mann

... Petitioner

Versus

UT Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Dhruv Sihag, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ashima Mor, APP UT Chandigarh  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 to 4.

**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Report dated 18.01.2016 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup>

Class, Chandigarh has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Darshan Singh Mann, victims namely Jaspreet Singh and Surinder Kaur as well as the accused Navjot Singh Mann, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, the fact that parties are family members and the offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of heinous nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.150 dated 15.08.2015 registered at Police Station Sector 19, Chandigarh under Sections 323/341/506 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**February 19, 2016**

*rps*