

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39350-2016

Date of decision: 7.11.2016

Ravina Kumari and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr.Rajeev Duggal, Advocate for the petitioners

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

Petitioners duly identified by the counsel are present.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. The requisite documents of their age proof are appended with this petition as Annexure P-1 (Aadhaar card of petitioner No.1) and Annexure P-2 (Voter card of petitioner No.2). They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondents No.4 to 9, who are the family members of petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Karan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the allegations made, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, Superintendent of Police, Kaithal that in case the petitioners move an application before

him seeking protection for their life and liberty, he will look into the matter and provide necessary protection to them, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is in danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 9.

7.11.2016
gsv

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable?	Yes	/	No