

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M- 39330 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.12.2016

Jasveer Singh @ Jassa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Ajmer Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.173 , dated 02.10.2016, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station City Moga, District Moga.

It is contended that there is nothing on record to connect the petitioner with the alleged crime. The petitioner has not committed any cheating or fraud and even as per the case of the prosecution, he had just made an attempt to obtain the loan from the bank. All the documents are in the police possession, thus, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that the petitioner tried to obtain the loan, made an application supported by driving licence and voter identity card by impersonation.

Heard.

The petitioner tried to obtain the loan by impersonating Paramjit Singh who has been residing abroad. The petitioner alone could not have prepared the documents in issue. Thus, keeping in view the nature of offence, this Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to find out the modus operandi and to unearth the truth with regard to involvement of other persons in the crime. Therefore, no case for anticipatory bail is made out, at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

05.12.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No