

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(204)

CRM-M-39328-2016

Decided on: December 21, 2016.

Ismail and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurdas Singh Salwara, DAG, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

The petitioners have joined the investigation. They were not arrested from the spot along with the meat, alleged to be beef.

Application for pre-arrest bail has been opposed inter alia on the ground that the petitioners are involved in one more criminal case of similar nature.

Since the identification of the petitioners and the possession of alleged beef would be a debatable issue, the petitioners having joined the investigation, can be granted the concession of pre-arrest bail.

I have considered the contention of learned State counsel that the petitioners are involved in one more criminal case of similar nature.

The interest of justice would be adequately met in case the petitioners are granted concession of bail, with certain conditions.

The petition is allowed. It is ordered that in case of arrest of the petitioners, they will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioners will join investigation as and when required;
- (ii) The petitioners will not indulge in similar activity of which they are accused of, during the entire period of trial;
- (iii) The petitioners will not tamper with the evidence or hamper the investigation in any manner; and
- (iv) The petitioners will not directly or indirectly make any attempt or threaten or induce any person acquainted with the facts of the case.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

December 21, 2016

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No