

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M 38420 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.02.2016

Jai Shankar

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: MrPardeep Kumar, Advocate for
Mr.Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms.Supriya Arora, Asstt. A.G. Haryana
Mr.Kanav Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.2

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Report of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind received that the parties have effected compromise.

In this case Jai Shankar petitioner who happens to be son of Smt.Darshna Devi Sadhvi -complainant/ respondent No.2 was convicted for commission of offences punishable under Sections 420, 468, 471 IPC vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 30.8.2015, passed by the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jind in a complaint filed under Sections 420, 468, 471, 506/12B/34 IPC on the ground of filing of forged affidavit and getting inheritance sanctioned in his favour. He was accordingly sentenced to various

terms. Aggrieved of the said judgment, Jai Shankar had filed an appeal. Pending the appeal, the parties have effected compromise.

In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind that the parties, who are mother and son, have amicably resolved their dispute and that the compromise is genuine, voluntarily and without undue influence, the petition is allowed and judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 30.8.2015, passed by the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jind, convicting and sentencing the petitioner under Sections 420, 468, 471 IPC stands quashed.

09.02.2016*gk***(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**