

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-38413 of 2015

Date of Decision: March 14, 2016

Azad Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr.Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Salwara, DAG, Haryana.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the deceased had died on account of septicemia after 22 days of the alleged occurrence of assault. Petitioner has been in custody w.e.f. June 10, 2014.

On asking of the Court, it has been informed that the trial court has examined almost all the material witnesses but only 8 formal witnesses remain to be examined on March 16, 2016.

I have considered the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner. It will be, no doubt, a debatable issue at the time of the final

disposal of the case, whether the petitioner had died on account of direct result of the assault or on account of other circumstance which includes infection in the shape of septicemia.

Without expression of any opinion on merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

The petition is dismissed. However, a direction is issued to the trial Court to conclude the entire evidence of prosecution by examining the remaining witnesses within a period of three months after the next date of hearing. In case the prosecution witnesses are not examined within a period of three months after the next date of hearing, it will be open to the petitioner to approach this court for grant of bail.

March 14, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE